

missiles that can reach the United States, and have this country instantly incinerated.

□ 1930

That is not the threat. The threat is a tanker, a freighter, a truck coming across the border, or something being smuggled in some other way. But we are doing nothing to protect against that. We are going to spend the money. Why? Because the defense contractors are making a bundle, and then they turn around and give a cut to the Republicans to help keep them in the majority, just like the pharmaceutical industry I talked about earlier today.

So it is just kind of pathetic, people standing up here saying, I'm a waste-watcher, and if my party was in charge," oops, they are. "If my party had the Senate," oops, they do. "If my party had the White House," oops, they do. And you are doing nothing about it. Well, do something about it. The minority cannot stop you.

Please, do not give us that. The American people are not quite stupid enough to believe that the minority in the House, who is trampled over day in and day out, is stopping the Republicans from taking those steps. You are not even trying, because a lot of your buddies are making money on that stuff.

NEW RECORD SET BY PRESIDENT BUSH

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, I came to talk about something else. I do not have much time left now, but I wanted to talk about a new record that has been set by the Bush administration.

Congratulations to George Bush and his economic team; they have set yet another record. They told us last year, if only the dollar dropped in value, well, that was all that was hurting our manufacturing. It did not have to do with their totally failed trade policies and the outsourcing of American jobs. It was just that the dollar was a little too high.

Well, the dollar dropped catastrophically. It was at a record low just a month ago, and guess what happened during that month? The U.S. ran a record trade deficit. So their theory does not seem to quite work. But we are still outsourcing jobs at a record rate. The dollar has come back a little. That might even make the deficit worse yet again. Their theories have not panned out.

We have a failed trade policy in the United States of America. We are losing our manufacturing base, our technology base. China is stealing our technology, stealing it from small companies in my district; and the Bush administration will not file a single complaint. Not one. They say, let us get China into the WTO, then they will have to follow the rules. Okay, well let us enforce the rules. Oh, no, we cannot enforce the rules.

We are not going to file complaints against China. It might upset our friends, the Chinese. Our friends the Chinese are dealing in weapons, they

are dealing with terrorists on sophisticated manned pads that can shoot down airliners, they are dealing in nuclear technology to terrorist nations. Our friends, the Chinese. The Bush administration says, if we only embrace them a little tighter, they will come around. Yeah, right, after they get all our money, all our jobs, and all our technology, they will come around?

I am just getting tired of these excuses: that if only they were in charge, they would do better. We have a failed trade policy, and what has this President proposed? More of the same.

Now, I have to admit Bill Clinton had a failed trade policy, too; but he copied his from George Bush who copied it from Ronald Reagan, and I opposed all of them as I oppose this.

Let us bring jobs back home to America. We need a new trade policy, and we need a little honesty around here instead of a bunch of whatever.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. CHOCOLA). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. BURTON) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. BURTON of Indiana addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

REMEMBER THE MISSION IN IRAQ

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from New Mexico (Mr. PEARCE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. PEARCE. Mr. Speaker, as we consider the implications of the debate about the photographs that our news media has been putting on the front pages and on the TV screens, it is important that we begin to calm down and to take a look at what we are doing and to remember why we are in Iraq.

Frankly, as we hear the discussions about having the President impeached and the Secretary of Defense resign, it is important for us to remember that 9-11 changed everything. So soon we forget, Mr. Speaker. 9-11 is the day that innocent civilians in this country went to work in the morning expecting they would come home to their families that night. 9-11 was the day that this body convened for its normal business. 9-11 was the day soccer moms became security moms, worried about the safety of their children in the streets. And President Bush said that he would fight terror; that if you harbored a terrorist, you were a terrorist; if you funded a terrorist, you were a terrorist; if you allowed them to pass through your country, you were a terrorist. And, Mr. Speaker, he has been solid and resolute about that commitment.

No matter how despicable the acts of our soldiers in Abu Ghraib prison, they remain the actions of just a few. They do not reflect the majority opinion. They do not reflect American values,

and they do not reflect what is going on in Iraq. Because there are magnificent tales of sacrifice and commitment going on in Iraq.

For those people who wonder why the Secretary of Defense should not step down, it has not been that long ago, Mr. Speaker, that we saw Rodney King in those famous videos where members of the Los Angeles Police Department were beating him. That circumstance did not reflect the policemen in L.A. any more than our current actions reflect our soldiers in Iraq. To put it in perspective, we should have, if we want equivalent actions, have called for the Governor of California to step down.

Secretary Rumsfeld is a tremendous political and military leader. If we look at the advances and the accomplishments that have occurred, to suggest change at this point in this war begins to seem irresponsible. Al Qaeda is completely uprooted and on the move. Thousands of al Qaeda members are dead or in prison. The Taliban is gone from Afghanistan. Saddam Hussein sits in a prison cell. We have over 40 of his top officials in prison cells awaiting trial. Libya has begun to give up its weapons of mass destruction, its nuclear weapons. Pakistan worked with us on the Afghanistan border fighting terror. Worldwide, we are seeing terrorists captured and imprisoned by the network of people on the side of good and against evil.

Mr. Speaker, Secretary Rumsfeld is greatly responsible for the actions that are positive and that show that we are winning the war on terror. And to suggest that he step down is irresponsible.

But we must also consider what it is going to take to win this war on terror. It is going to take valor, valor like that of Pat Tilghman, who gave up a lucrative career to go serve his country. It is going to take sacrifice, like a young helicopter pilot from my district who died in a night crash in Afghanistan. It is going to take courage, because this is going to be a long fight, Mr. Speaker. And if we are going to run right now, I will guarantee you that we will not win this war on terror, and that every American life will be affected. And those soccer moms who became security moms will have been justified in their fears, and they will have been let down by the leadership of this country, many of whom are calling for the President to come back home and to leave that fight.

Mr. Speaker, we owe it to the people of this country and to the free people in the entire world to stand our ground and to fight and to have the resolute intent to see that this war on terror is won. Mr. Speaker, I cast my lot on the side of those people who will fight this war, who will see that liberty triumphs over tyranny and over terrorism.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California (Mr. GEORGE MILLER) is recognized for 5 minutes.